

Karem Alsaman

Professor von Uhl

Writing For Engineering B2

March 14, 2023

While writing this essay, I faced many different challenges making the essay what it is by the submission date. From these struggles, came unique and key features that my essay has. For example, I believe that I was able to introduce and captivate the readers' attention through a compelling hook. Throughout my essay, I had a clear and cohesive structure that is logical. I started out by introducing the topic, treatments and issues regarding major depression disorder, where I went on to explaining the formats of each of the three lab reports dedicating a paragraph for each of them. Throughout these body paragraphs, for each of the lab reports, I went over the objective, introduction, methods, results, and discussion/conclusion. With each of these evaluations, I compared and contrasted each of these elements of the lab report.

Part of the need that motivated me to write this lab report is the need to finish it. But, most importantly, I wanted to explain and explore different lab reports related to the treatment/discoveries of major depression disorder. In my career as a chemical engineer, I plan on taking part in research related to MDD and possible treatments I can discover through my research. It is important for me to explore and learn about current lab reports related to the subject in order for me to be able to replicate these well-written, lab reports.

The purpose of the lab report analysis paper is to address the need that I had, and to explore the different types of ways writers can convey and present their data and analysis. Through this essay, I was able to compare and contrast the formats of three different lab reports and the different ways tone,

structure, and organization of these different texts would impact their intended audience's understanding of the text. The purpose of this essay is to highlight the importance of writer's choice in which organization, order, and how every single word that is included in the text would impact the understanding of the reader and change the meaning of the text.

For this essay, and any other essays I will submit, I know that the intended audience of my writing will be my professor, Dr. von Uhl, in addition to my classmates that will peer review my writing. But, if I was to publish this paper to be read online, I would hope that the intended audience of my paper would be the readers of the lab reports that I wrote about. It would be important for me that the readers of my paper would also be the readers of the three different lab reports that I wrote about as they would be able to relate more to my paper and fully understand what I am mentioning and talking about. They would also be able to verify my accuracy of the analysis of these different lab reports, keeping me in check.

From my perspective, the audience of my essay will be readers that have read the three lab reports that I analyzed. From this, I will be able to talk more directly to my audience, keeping in mind the fact that my audience would be able to identify what I am conveying when mentioning each of the lab reports. From the audience's perspective, I am simply highlighting the strengths and weaknesses of three different lab reports that they are familiar with.

Through this writing analysis piece, I am able to achieve many of the course-learning objectives that will help me succeed not only in this class, but in my future career as an engineering writer. For this writing piece, I was able to read, annotate, and analyze three different lab reports that, for an average reader, would be challenging to achieve. Not only that, I set a strategy for myself to craft the draft and the final piece of my essay. By dividing the essay into multiple parts, I was able to write the essay during different sessions, all while keeping the deadline in mind. Not only that, but, through this essay I was able to explore different writing styles that are effective and ineffective, opening my eyes to what to do and what not to do. Through all of this, I was able to formulate a strong stance on ranking the three lab reports, and pointing out why the stance that I took is valid.